

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/212,107	12/15/1998	JOSE I. ARNO	4070-317.CIP	8874
7	590 08/19/2002			
STEVEN J HULTQUIST			EXAMINER	
IP TL P O BOX 14329			NGUYEN, NGOC YEN M	
RESEARCH T	RIANGLE, NC 27709		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1754	
		DATE MAILED: 08/19/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Applicati n No. Applicant(s) ARNO ET AL. 09/212,107 Advis ry Acti n Examin r **Art Unit** Ngoc-Yen M. Nguyen 1754 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on th cov r sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 06 August 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a

final r condi	rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which p tion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely f hination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	laces the application in iled Request for Continued
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) [The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) [The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the fina event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the 1 ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FIN 706.07(f).	final rejection.
have be 37 CFF (b) abo	Actensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) een filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. R 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the five, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	The appropriate extension fee under nal Office action; or (2) as set forth in
1.	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the	
2.🛛	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	•
(a	a) 🔀 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see	NOTE below);
(t	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(0	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materia issues for appeal; and/or	lly reducing or simplifying the
(C	i) \square they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of final	ly rejected claims.
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.	
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a sepa canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	rate, timely filed amendment
5.🖂	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been consider application in condition for allowance because: of the rejection of record, see paper #14.	red but does NOT place the
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to it raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ssues which were newly
7.	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below to	
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
	Claim(s) allowed: None.	
	Claim(s) objected to: None.	
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>21, 26, 27, 61-61</u> .	
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8.	The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapprov	ed by the Examiner.
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	<u>_</u> .
10.[Other:	
	Pr	Upp Yen Wyryen goc-Yen M. Nguyen imary Examiner t Unit: 1754

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed limitations "the second scrubbing zone has a lower water flow rate than the first scrubbing zone chamber" raise new issue that would require further consideration.